

Capstone Critique, Revision, and Argument

g12_u09_w35

Use original fictional claims only. Do not conduct research, collect data, intervene, regulate, recommend, or make real-world decisions.

Claim A: “Card T proves X causes Y everywhere.” **Claim B:** “Because two fictional cards differ, the labeled option should be used.” **Claim C:** “The model shows a pattern within its stated boundary.”

1. Which word makes Claim A especially overbroad?

2. What direct evidence does A name?

3. What causal bridge is missing from A?

4. What boundary does “everywhere” ignore?

5. What uncertainty should A name?

6. Identify the observation in Claim B.

7. What recommendation does B add?

8. Why does evidence not automatically become a recommendation?

9. What additional categories matter before a decision?

10. Why is C more bounded than A?

11. Write a revision of A that keeps only supported content.

12. Write a revision of B that removes recommendation language.

13. State one fictional evidence card that could strengthen A.

14. State one fictional evidence card that could challenge A.

15. What is constructive critique?

16. Give one feedback sentence frame that names evidence, not a person.

17. Explain the difference between precision and certainty.

18. Write a claim-evidence-reasoning paragraph using C.

19. State one conclusion C cannot support.

20. Draft a two-sentence revision note for an author.
